



**DR. RAJENDRA PRASAD
NATIONAL LAW UNIVERSITY, PRAYAGRAJ**

SEASON 02

WEEKLY

DEBATE & DISCUSSION SERIES

**PRESENTED BY
DEBATE & DISCUSSION CLUB**

TOPIC

“STRAY DOGS OR PUBLIC SAFETY? THE SUPREME COURT’S DELHI NCR ORDER”

On the **21st August 2025**, the Weekly Debate and Discussion was conducted on the topic of “Stray dogs or public safety? The Supreme Court’s Delhi NCR order”, **Presented by Mr. Devansh Upadhyay and Mr. Aditya dixit**. The debate revolved around the safety of public mainly concerning the children who were the victims of attacks of stray dogs and the condition of dogs in the shelter houses and how those shelter house would be constructed for large scale habitation of dogs in maximum period of eight weeks. The opposing views consisted of the arbitrary nature of judgement and the abusive condition of shelter houses already in use. Meanwhile the arguments in favour emphasized on the injustice to the victims and the importance of human life.

MEET THE PRESENTERS

Mr. Devansh Upadhyay
Sem III, B.A.LL.B.(Hons.)



Mr. Aditya Dixit
Sem III, B.A.LL.B.(Hons.)



TOPIC

“DATA COLONIALISM: IS INDIA TRADING SOVEREIGNTY FOR TECH PROGRESS”

On the **4th September 2025**, the Weekly Debate and Discussion was conducted on the topic of the “Data colonialism: Is India trading sovereignty for tech progress”, **Presented by Ms. Swarnima Yadav and Mr. Parth Dwivedi**. The debate centered around the use of data safety. Data colonisation raises the worry that India is quietly trading sovereignty for technological progress, as dependence on foreign tech giants can shift control over critical data and digital standards outside India. Favouring arguments consisted that such integration brings faster innovation, investment, and global competitiveness. The critics respond that unchecked reliance risks external surveillance, economic leverage, and long-term regulatory capture, effectively eroding strategic autonomy in exchange for short-term digital gains.

MEET THE PRESENTERS

Ms. Swarnima Yadav
Sem I, B.A.LL.B.(Hons.)



Mr. Parth Dwivedi
Sem I, B.A.LL.B.(Hons.)



TOPIC

“BAIL V. JAIL: IS INDIA'S PRE-TRIAL DETENTION SYSTEM UNCONSTITUTIONAL”

On the **11th September 2025**, the Weekly Debate and Discussion was conducted on the topic of “Bail v. Jail: Is India's pre-trial detention system unconstitutional”, **Presented by Ms. Vanya Sidana and Ms. Siddhi Sharma**. The arguments in favour argue that stricter bail norms protect society by preventing absconding, witness tampering, and interference with investigations, treating detention as a preventive rather than punitive measure to safeguard public order. The opposition counters that the reality of overcrowded prisons with a high proportion of undertrial inmates shows that “bail is the rule, jail is the exception” has been diluted in practice, undermining Article 21’s guarantee of personal liberty and the presumption of innocence

MEET THE PRESENTERS



Ms. Vanya Sidana
Sem III, B.A.LL.B.(Hons.)



Ms. Siddhi Sharma
Sem III, B.A.LL.B.(Hons.)

TOPIC

“IS RESERVATION IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR JUSTIFIED”

On the **18th September 2025**, the Weekly Debate and Discussion was conducted on the topic of “Is reservation in the private sector justified”, **Presented by Ms. Anushka Singh and Ms. Prachi Mittal**. The debate centered around the reservation policy that has been adopted by our country and if it should be continued for the growth of underprivileged. Arguments in favour put forward their thoughts on the classification faced by the lower caste and socio-economic conditions that still does not reach upto the standards. The opposing arguments spoke for the rights of the general class and countered by stating various cases of fake certificates even with sufficient access.

MEET THE PRESENTERS

Ms. Anushka Singh
Sem I, B.A.LL.B.(Hons.)



Ms. Prachi Mittal
Sem I, B.A.LL.B.(Hons.)



TOPIC

“IS JUDICIAL IMMUNITY ESSENTIAL FOR MAINTAINING THE AUTONOMY OF THE JUDICIARY”

On the **25th September 2025**, the Weekly Debate and Discussion was conducted on the topic of the “Is judicial immunity essential for maintaining the autonomy of the judiciary”, **Presented by Mr. Ayush Trigun and Ms. Kriti Raj**. The discussion centered on whether absolute immunity may risk insulating judges from legitimate scrutiny. Proponents argue that maintaining strong immunity is vital to fostering impartiality, while critics suggest that limited forms of oversight can coexist with independence. Ultimately, the debate reflects a broader effort to preserve both judicial freedom and public trust in the justice system.

MEET THE PRESENTERS

Ms. Kriti Raj
Sem I, B.A.LL.B.(Hons.)



Mr. Ayush Trigun
Sem I, B.A.LL.B.(Hons.)



**DR. RAJENDRA PRASAD
NATIONAL LAW UNIVERSITY, PRAYAGRAJ**

DEBATE & DISCUSSION CLUB

Patron- Sr. Prof. (Dr.) Usha Tandon
HON'BLE VICE-CHANCELLOR, RPNLUP

Faculty Convener- Dr. Neha Dubey
ASST. PROF., RPNLUP

Student Convener- Mr. Devansh Upadhyay
SEM-III, B.A.LL.B.(HONS.)

Report Compiled by- Mr. Devansh Upadhyay
SEM-III, B.A.LL.B.(HONS.)